IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

INCOME TAX APPLICATION No 152 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE C.K.THAKKER and MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

- Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX

Versus

PRADIP J. KINARIWALA

Appearance:

Mr. B.B.. Naik for MR MANISH R BHATT for Petitioner

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE C.K.THAKKER and

MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 24/06/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

This application is filed for referring the following questions for opinion of this court;

"1. Whether the Appellate Tribunal is right in law and on facts in confirming the

order made by the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeal) directing the Assessing Officer to include only balance share i.e. after reducing the share which was alleged to be transferred to the family trust?

- 2. Whether the Appellate Tribunal is right
 in law and on facts in confirming the
 order passed by the Commissioner of
 Income -Tax (Appeal) deleting the
 inclusion of the income accrued or arisen
 to the BOIs from the total income of the
 assessee ?
- 3. Whether the Appellate Tribunal ought not to have appreciated that the entire transaction was created with a sole view of evading due taxes ?
- 4. Whether the Appellate Tribunal is right
 in law and on facts in confirming the
 order passed by the Commissioner of
 Income Tax (Appeal) allowing deduction
 under Section 80-C on PPF and LIP ? "

In our opinion questions raised in this application are covered by a decision of this court in Sunil J.Kinariwala v. Commissioner of Income Tax 211 ITR 127. The questions were answered in favour of the assessee and against the revenue in the said case. Again in similar circumstances, in Income Tax Application No.82 of 1997 to 117 of 1997, the Division Bench followed the decision in in Sunil J.Kinariwala (supra) and disposed of the applications on 12.1.1998.

In our opinion, therefore, this application deserves to be rejected and is accordingly rejected.

Dt. 24.6.1998. (C.K.THAKKER J.)

(M.C.PATEL J.)